In another aspect, the invention features a plant disease-resistance gene according to the method involving: (a) providing a recombinant plant cell library; (b) contacting the recombinant plant cell library with a detectably-labelled RPS gene fragment produced according to the method of the invention under hybridization conditions providing detection of genes having 50% or greater sequence identity; and (c) isolating a disease-resistance gene by its association with the detectable label.

In another aspect, the invention features a method of identifying a plant disease-resistance gene involving: (a) providing a plant tissue sample; (b) introducing by biolistic transformation into the plant tissue sample a candidate plant disease-resistance gene; (c) expressing the candidate plant disease-resistance gene within the plant tissue sample; and (d) determining whether the plant tissue sample exhibits a disease-resistance response, whereby a response identifies a plant disease-resistance gene.

Preferably, the plant tissue sample is either leaf, root, flower, fruit, or stem tissue; the candidate plant disease-resistance gene is obtained from a cDNA expression library; and the disease-resistance response is the hypersensitive response.

In another aspect, the invention features a plant disease-resistance gene isolated according to the method involving: (a) providing a plant tissue sample; (b) introducing by biolistic transformation into the plant tissue sample a candidate plant disease-resistance gene; (c) expressing the candidate plant disease-resistance gene within the plant tissue sample; and (d) determining whether the plant tissue sample exhibits a disease-resistance response, whereby a response identifies a plant disease-resistance gene.

In another aspect, the invention features a purified antibody which binds specifically to an rps family protein. Such an antibody may be used in any standard immunodetection method for the identification of an RPS polypeptide.

In another aspect, the invention features a DNA sequence substantially identical to the DNA sequence shown in Figure 12.

In another aspect, the invention features a substantially pure polypeptide having a sequence substantially identical to a Prf amino acid sequence shown in Figure 5 (A or B).

By "disease resistance gene" is meant a gene encoding a polypeptide capable of triggering the plant defense response in a plant cell or plant tissue. An *RPS* gene is a disease resistance gene having about 50% or greater sequence identity to the *RPS2* sequence of Fig. 2 or a portion thereof. The gene, *RPS2*, is a disease resistance gene encoding the Rps2 disease resistance polypeptide from *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

By "polypeptide" is meant any chain of amino acids, regardless of length or post-translational modification (e.g., glycosylation or phosphorylation).

By "substantially identical" is meant a polypeptide or nucleic acid exhibiting at least 50%, preferably 85%, more preferably 90%, and most preferably 95% homology to a reference amino acid or nucleic acid sequence. For polypeptides, the length of comparison sequences will generally be at least 16 amino acids, preferably at least 20 amino acids, more preferably at least 25 amino acids, and most preferably 35 amino acids. For nucleic acids, the length of comparison sequences will generally be at least 50 nucleotides, preferably at least 60 nucleotides, more

- 10 -

preferably at least 75 nucleotides, and most preferably 110 nucleotides.

Sequence identity is typically measured using sequence analysis software (e.g., Sequence Analysis Software Package of the Genetics Computer Group, University of Wisconsin Biotechnology Center, 1710 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53705). Such software matches similar sequences by assigning degrees of homology to various substitutions, deletions, substitutions, and other modifications. Conservative substitutions typically include substitutions within the following groups: glycine alanine; valine, isoleucine, leucine; aspartic acid, glutamic acid, asparagine, glutamine; serine, threonine; lysine, arginine; and phenylalanine, tyrosine.

By a "substantially pure polypeptide" is meant an Rps2 polypeptide which has been separated from components which naturally accompany it. Typically, the polypeptide is substantially pure when it is at least 60%, by weight, free from the proteins and naturally-occurring organic molecules with which it is naturally associated. Preferably, the preparation is at least 75%, more preferably at least 90%, and most preferably at least 99%, by weight, Rps2 polypeptide. A substantially pure Rps2 polypeptide may be obtained, for example, by extraction from a natural source (e.g., a plant cell); by expression of a recombinant nucleic acid encoding an Rps2 polypeptide; or by chemically synthesizing the protein. Purity can be measured by any appropriate method, e.g., those described in column chromatography, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, or by HPLC analysis.

A protein is substantially free of naturally associated components when it is separated from those contaminants which accompany it in its natural state. Thus,

- 11 -

a protein which is chemically synthesized or produced in a
cellular system different from the cell from which it
naturally originates will be substantially free from its
naturally associated components. Accordingly, substantially
pure polypeptides include those derived from eukaryotic
organisms but synthesized in *E. coli* or other prokaryotes.

By "substantially pure DNA" is meant DNA that is
free of the genes which, in the naturally-occurring genome
of the organism from which the DNA of the invention is
derived, flank the gene. The term therefore includes, for
example, a recombinant DNA which is incorporated into a
vector; into an autonomously replicating plasmid or virus;
or into the genomic DNA of a prokaryote or eukaryote; or
which exists as a separate molecule (e.g., a cDNA or a
genomic or cDNA fragment produced by PCR or restriction
endonuclease digestion) independent of other sequences. It
also includes a recombinant DNA which is part of a hybrid
gene encoding additional polypeptide sequence.

By "transformed cell" is meant a cell into which (or
into an ancestor of which) has been introduced, by means of
recombinant DNA techniques, a DNA molecule encoding (as used
herein) an Rps2 polypeptide.

By "positioned for expression" is meant that the DNA
molecule is positioned adjacent to a DNA sequence which
directs transcription and translation of the sequence (i.e.,
facilitates the production of, e.g., an Rps2 polypeptide, a
recombinant protein or a RNA molecule).

By "reporter gene" is meant a gene whose expression
may be assayed; such genes include, without limitation, β-
glucuronidase (GUS), luciferase, chloramphenicol
transacetylase (CAT), and ß-galactosidase.

By "promoter" is meant minimal sequence sufficient
to direct transcription. Also included in the invention are

- 12 -

those promoter elements which are sufficient to render
promoter-dependent gene expression controllable for cell-
type specific, tissue-specific or inducible by external
signals or agents; such elements may be located in the 5' or
3' regions of the native gene.

By "operably linked" is meant that a gene and a
regulatory sequence(s) are connected in such a way as to
permit gene expression when the appropriate molecules (e.g.,
transcriptional activator proteins) are bound to the
regulatory sequence(s).

By "plant cell" is meant any self-propagating cell
bounded by a semi-permeable membrane and containing a
plastid. Such a cell also requires a cell wall if further
propagation is desired. Plant cell, as used herein
includes, without limitation, algae, cyanobacteria, seeds
suspension cultures, embryos, meristematic regions, callus
tissue, leaves, roots, shoots, gametophytes, sporophytes,
pollen, and microspores.

By "transgene" is meant any piece of DNA which is
inserted by artifice into a cell, and becomes part of the
genome of the organism which develops from that cell. Such
a transgene may include a gene which is partly or entirely
heterologous (i.e., foreign) to the transgenic organism, or
may represent a gene homologous to an endogenous gene of the
organism.

By "transgenic" is meant any cell which includes a
DNA sequence which is inserted by artifice into a cell and
becomes part of the genome of the organism which develops
from that cell. As used herein, the transgenic organisms are
generally transgenic plants and the DNA (transgene) is
inserted by artifice into the nuclear or plastidic genome.

Figs. 1A - 1F are a schematic summary of the physical and RFLP analysis that led to the cloning of the RPS2 locus.

Fig. 1A is a diagram showing the alignment of the genetic and the RFLP maps of the relevant portion of *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome IV adapted from the map published by Lister and Dean (1993) Plant J. 4:745-750. The RFLP marker L11F11 represents the left arm of the YUP11F11 YAC clone.

Fig. 1B is a diagram showing the alignment of relevant YACs around the RPS2 locus. YAC constructs designated YUP16G5, YUP18G9 and YUP11F11 were provided by J. Ecker, University of Pennsylvania. YAC constructs designated EW3H7, EW11D4, EW11E4, and EW9C3 were provided by E. Ward, Ciba-Geigy, Inc.

Fig. 1C is a diagram showing the alignment of cosmid clones around the RPS2 locus. Cosmid clones with the designation H are derivatives of the EW3H7 YAC clone whereas those with the designation E are derivatives of the EW11E4 YAC clone. Vertical arrows indicate the relative positions of RFLP markers between the ecotypes La-er and the *rps2-101N* plant. The RFLP markers were identified by screening a Southern blot containing more than 50 different restriction enzyme digests using either the entire part or pieces of the corresponding cosmid clones as probes. The cosmid clones described in Fig. 1C were provided by J. Giraudat, C.N.R.S., Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

Figs. 1D and 1E are maps of *Eco*RI restriction endonuclease sites in the cosmids E4-4 and E4-6, respectively. The recombination break points surrounding the RPS2 locus are located within the 4.5 and 7.5 kb *Eco*RI restriction endonuclease fragments.

Fig. 1F is a diagram showing the approximate location of genes which encode the RNA transcripts which have been identified by polyA$^+$ RNA blot analysis. The sizes of the transcripts are given in kilobase pairs below each transcript.

Fig. 2 is the complete nucleotide sequence of cDNA-4 comprising the RPS2 gene locus. The three reading frames are shown below the nucleotide sequence. The deduced amino acid sequence of reading frame "a" is provided and contains 909 amino acids. The methionine encoded by the ATG start codon is circled in open reading frame "a" of Fig. 2. The A of the ATG start codon is nucleotide 31 of Fig. 2.

Fig. 3 is the nucleotide sequence of the avrRpt2 gene and its deduced amino acid sequence. A potential ribosome binding site is underlined. An inverted repeat is indicated by horizontal arrows at the 3' end of the open reading frame. The deduced amino acid sequence is provided below the nucleotide sequence of the open reading frame.

Fig. 4 is a schematic summary of the complementation analysis that allowed functional confirmation that the DNA carried on p4104 and p4115 (encoding cDNA-4) confers RPS2 disease resistance activity to Arabidopsis thaliana plants previously lacking RPS2 disease resistance activity. Small vertical marks along the "genome" line represent restriction enzyme EcoRI recognition sites, and the numbers above this line represent the size, in kilobase pairs (kb), of the resulting DNA fragments (see also Fig. 1E). Opposite "cDNAs" are the approximate locations of the coding sequences for RNA transcripts (See also Fig. 1F); arrowheads indicate the direction of transcription for cDNAs 4, 5, and 6. For functional complementation experiments, rps2-201C/rps2-201C plants were genetically transformed with the

Arabidopsis thaliana genomic DNA sequences indicated; these sequences were carried on the named plasmids (derivatives of the binary cosmid vector pSLJ4541) and delivered to the plant via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation methods. The disease resistance phenotype of the resulting transformants following inoculation with *P. syringae* expressing *avrRpt2* is given as "Sus." (susceptible, no resistance response) or "Res." (disease resistant).

Fig. 5A shows regions of sequence similarity between the L-6 protein of flax, N protein of tobacco, Prf protein of tomato, and rps2 protein of *Arabidopsis*.

Fig. 5B shows sequence similarity between the N and L-6 proteins.

Fig. 6 shows a sequence analysis of RPS2 polypeptide showing polypeptide regions corresponding to an N-terminal hydrophobic region, a leucine zipper, NBSs (kinase-1a, kinase-2, and kinase-3 motifs), and a predicted membrane integrated region

Fig. 7 shows the amino acid sequence of the RPS2 LRR (amino acids 505-867). The top line indicates the consensus sequences for the *RPS2* LRR. An "X" stands for an arbitrary amino acid sequence and an "a" stands for an aliphatic amino acid residue. The consensus sequence for the *RPS2* LRR is closely related to the consensus for the yeast adenylate cyclase CYR1 LRR (PX Xa XXL XXL XXLXL XXNXaXXa). The amino acid residues that match the consensus sequence are shown in bold. Although this figure shows 14 LRRs, the C-terminal boundary of the LRR is not very clear because the LRR closer to the C-terminus does not fit the consensus sequence very well.

Fig. 8 shows a sequence analysis of RPS2, indicating regions with similarity to leucine zipper, P-loop, membrane-spanning, and leucine-rich repeat motifs. Regions with

similarity to defined functional domains are indicated with a line over the relevant amino acids. Potential N-glycosylation sequences are marked with a dot, and the location of the rps2-201 Thr to Pro mutation at animo acid 668 is marked with an asterisk.

Fig. 9 is a schematic representation of the transient assay method. The top panel shows the essential principles of the assay. The bottom panel shows a schematic representation of the actual transient assay procedure. *Psp* NP53121 is used because it is a weak *Arabidopsis* pathogen, but potent in causing the HR when carrying an avirulence gene. In the absence of an HR, the damage to plant cells infected with NP53121 is minimal, enhancing the difference of GUS accumulation in cells that undergo the HR in comparison to those that do not. Prior to bombardment, one half of an *Arabidopsis* leaf is infiltrated with P. syringae (stippled side of leaf); the other half of the leaf serves as a noninfected control, an "internal" reference for the infected side, and as a measure of transformation efficiency.

Fig. 10, panels A-B, are photographs showing the complementation of the rps2 mutant phenotype using the biolistic transient expression assay. The left sides of rps2-101C mutant leaves were infiltrated with Psp 3121/avrRpt2. Infiltrated leaves were cobombarded with either 35S-uidA plus ΔGUS (Panel A) or 35S-uidA plus 35S-RPS2 (cDNA-2 clone 4) (Panel B). Note that in Panel B the infected side of the leaf shows less GUS activity than the uninfected side, indicating that the transformed cells on the infected side underwent an HR and that 35S-RPS2 complemented the mutant phenotype (see Fig. 9).

Fig. 11 is a schematic representation of pKEx4tr showing the structure of this cDNA expression vector. For

(or different wild accessions) of a particular host species. In many such cases, genetic analysis of <u>both</u> the host and the pathogen revealed that many avirulent fungal and bacterial strains differ from virulent ones by the possession of one or more avirulence (avr) genes that have corresponding "resistance" genes in the host. This avirulence gene-resistance gene correspondence is termed the "gene-for-gene" model (Crute, et al., (1985) pp 197-309 in: *Mechanisms of Resistance to Plant Disease*. R.S.S. Fraser, ed.; Ellingboe, (1981) Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 19:125-143; Flor, (1971) Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 9:275-296; Keen and Staskawicz, (1988) <u>supra</u>; and Keen et al. in: *Application of Biotechnology to Plant Pathogen Control*. I. Chet, ed., John Wiley & Sons, 1993, pp. 65-88). According to a simple formulation of this model, plant resistance genes encode specific receptors for molecular signals generated by avr genes. Signal transduction pathway(s) then carry the signal to a set of target genes that initiate the HR and other host defenses (Gabriel and Rolfe, (1990) Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 28:365-391). Despite this simple predictive model, the molecular basis of the avr-resistance gene interaction is still unknown.

One basic prediction of the gene-for-gene hypothesis has been convincingly confirmed at the molecular level by the cloning of a variety of bacterial avr genes (Innes, et al., (1993) J. Bacteriol. 175:4859-4869; Dong, et al., (1991) Plant Cell 3:61-72; Whelan et al., (1991) Plant Cell 3:49-59; Staskawicz et al., (1987) J. Bacteriol. 169:5789-5794; Gabriel et al., (1986) P.N.A.S., USA 83:6415-6419; Keen and Staskawicz, (1988) Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 42:421-440; Kobayashi et al., (1990) Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 3:94-102 and (1990) Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 3:103-111). Many of these cloned avirulence genes have been shown to

correspond to individual resistance genes in the cognate host plants and have been shown to confer an avirulent phenotype when transferred to an otherwise virulent strain. The avrRpt2 locus was isolated from Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato and sequenced by Innes et al. (Innes, R. et al. (1993) J. Bacteriol. 175:4859-4869). Fig. 3 is the nucleotide sequence and deduced amino acid sequence of the avrRpt2 gene.

Examples of known signals to which plants respond when infected by pathogens include harpins from Erwinia (Wei et al. (1992) Science 257:85-88) and Pseudomonas (He et al. (1993) Cell 73:1255-1266); avr4 (Joosten et al. (1994) Nature 367:384-386) and avr9 peptides (van den Ackerveken et al (1992) Plant J. 2:359-366) from Cladosporium; PopA1 from Pseudomonas (Arlat et al. (1994) EMBO J. 13:543-553); avrD-generated lipopolysaccharide (Midland et al. (1993) J. Org. Chem. 58:2940-2945); and NIP1 from Rhynchosporium (Hahn et al. (1993) Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 6:745-754).

Compared to avr genes, considerably less is known about plant resistance genes that correspond to specific avr-generated signals. The plant resistance gene, RPS2 (rps for resistance to Pseudomonas syringae), the first gene of a new, previously unidentified class of plant disease resistance genes corresponds to a specific avr gene (avrRpt2). Some of the work leading up to the cloning of RPS2 is described in Yu, et al., (1993), Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 6:434-443 and in Kunkel, et al., (1993) Plant Cell 5:865-875.

An apparently unrelated avirulence gene which corresponds specifically to plant disease resistance gene, Pto, has been isolated from tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) (Martin et al., (1993) Science 262:1432-1436). Tomato plants expressing the Pto gene are resistant to

infection by strains of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* that express the *avrPto* avirulence gene. The amino acid sequence inferred from the *Pto* gene DNA sequence displays strong similarity to serine-threonine protein kinases, implicating *Pto* in signal transduction. No similarity to the tomato *Pto* locus or any known protein kinases was observed for *RPS2*, suggesting that *RPS2* is representative of a new class of plant disease resistance genes.

The isolation of a race-specific resistance gene from *Zea mays* (corn) known as *Hm1* has been reported (Johal and Briggs (1992) Science 258:985-987). *Hm1* confers resistance against specific races of the fungal pathogen *Cochliobolus carbonum* by controlling degradation of a fungal toxin, a strategy that is mechanistically distinct from the avirulence-gene specific resistance of the *RPS2-avrRpt2* resistance mechanism.

The cloned *RPS2* gene of the invention can be used to facilitate the construction of plants that are resistant to specific pathogens and to overcome the inability to transfer disease resistance genes between species using classical breeding techniques (Keen et al., (1993), <u>supra</u>). There now follows a description of the cloning and characterization of an *Arabidopsis thaliana RPS2* genetic locus, the *RPS2* genomic DNA, and the *RPS2* cDNA. The *avrRpt2* gene and the *RPS2* gene, as well as mutants *rps2-101C*, *rps2-102C*, and *rps2-201C* (also designated *rps2-201*), are described in Dong, et al., (1991) Plant Cell 3:61-72; Yu, et al., (1993) <u>supra</u>; Kunkel et al., (1993) <u>supra</u>; Whalen et al., (1991), <u>supra</u>; and Innes et al., (1993), <u>supra</u>). A mutant designated *rps2-101N* has also been isolated. The identification and cloning of the *RPS2* gene is described below.

infection by strains of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* that express the *avrPto* avirulence gene. The amino acid sequence inferred from the *Pto* gene DNA sequence displays strong similarity to serine-threonine protein kinases, implicating *Pto* in signal transduction. No similarity to the tomato *Pto* locus or any known protein kinases was observed for *RPS2*, suggesting that *RPS2* is representative of a new class of plant disease resistance genes.

The isolation of a race-specific resistance gene from *Zea mays* (corn) known as *Hml* has been reported (Johal and Briggs (1992) Science 258:985-987). *Hml* confers resistance against specific races of the fungal pathogen *Cochliobolus carbonum* by controlling degradation of a fungal toxin, a strategy that is mechanistically distinct from the avirulence-gene specific resistance of the *RPS2-avrRpt2* resistance mechanism.

The cloned *RPS2* gene of the invention can be used to facilitate the construction of plants that are resistant to specific pathogens and to overcome the inability to transfer disease resistance genes between species using classical breeding techniques (Keen et al., (1993), <u>supra</u>). There now follows a description of the cloning and characterization of an *Arabidopsis thaliana RPS2* genetic locus, the *RPS2* genomic DNA, and the *RPS2* cDNA. The *avrRpt2* gene and the *RPS2* gene, as well as mutants *rps2-101C*, *rps2-102C*, and *rps2-201C* (also designated *rps2-201*), are described in Dong, et al., (1991) Plant Cell 3:61-72; Yu, et al., (1993) <u>supra</u>; Kunkel et al., (1993) <u>supra</u>; Whalen et al., (1991), <u>supra</u>; and Innes et al., (1993), <u>supra</u>). A mutant designated *rps2-101N* has also been isolated. The identification and cloning of the *RPS2* gene is described below.

RPS2 Overcomes Sensitivity to Pathogens Carrying the avrRpt2 Gene

To demonstrate the genetic relationship between an avirulence gene in the pathogen and a resistance gene in the host, it was necessary first to isolate an avirulence gene. By screening *Pseudomonas* strains that are known pathogens of crop plants related to *Arabidopsis*, highly virulent strains, *P. syringae* pv. *maculicola (Psm)* ES4326, *P. syringae* pv. *tomato (Pst)* DC3000, and an avirulent strain, *Pst* MM1065 were identified and analyzed as to their respective abilities to grow in wild type *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants (Dong et al., (1991) Plant Cell, 3:61-72; Whalen et al., (1991) Plant Cell 3:49-59; MM1065 is designated JL1065 in Whalen et al.). *Psm* ES4326 or *Pst* DC3000 can multiply 10^4 fold in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves and cause water-soaked lesions that appear over the course of two days. *Pst* MM1065 multiplies a maximum of 10 fold in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves and causes the appearance of a mildly chlorotic dry lesion after 48 hours. Thus, disease resistance is associated with severely inhibited growth of the pathogen.

An avirulence gene (*avr*) of the *Pst* MM1065 strain was cloned using standard techniques as described in Dong et al. (1991), Plant Cell 3:61-72; Whalen et al., (1991) supra; and Innes et al., (1993), supra. The isolated avirulence gene from this strain was designated *avrRpt2*. Normally, the virulent strain *Psm* ES4326 or *Pst* DC3000 causes the appearance of disease symptoms after 48 hours as described above. In contrast, *Psm* ES4326/*avrRpt2* or *Pst* DC3000/*avrRpt2* elicits the appearance of a visible necrotic hypersensitivity response (HR) within 16 hours and multiplies 50 fold less than *Psm* ES4326 or *Pst* DC3000 in wild type *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves (Dong et al., (1991),

supra; and Whalen et al., (1991), supra). Thus, disease resistance in a wild type *Arabidopsis* plant requires, in part, an avirulence gene in the pathogen or a signal generated by the avirulence gene.

The isolation of four *Arabidopsis thaliana* disease resistance mutants has been described using the cloned *avrRpt2* gene to search for the host gene required for disease resistance to pathogens carrying the *avrRpt2* gene (Yu et al., (1993), supra; Kunkel et al., (1993), supra). The four *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants failed to develop an HR when infiltrated with *Psm* ES4326/*avrRpt2* or *Pst* DC3000/*avrRpt2* as expected for plants having lost their disease resistance capacity. In the case of one of these mutants, approximately 3000 five to six week old M_2 ecotype Columbia (Col-0 plants) plants generated by ethyl methanesulfonic acid (EMS) mutagenesis were hand-inoculated with *Psm* ES4326/*avrRpt2* and a single mutant, *rps2-101C*, was identified (resistance to *P*seudomonas *s*yringae) (Yu et al., (1993), supra).

The second mutant was isolated using a procedure that specifically enriches for mutants unable to mount an HR (Yu et al., (1993), supra). When 10-day old *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings growing on petri plates are infiltrated with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* (*Psp*) NPS3121 versus *Psp* NPS3121/*avrRpt2*, about 90% of the plants infiltrated with *Psp* NPS3121 survive, whereas about 90%-95% of the plants infiltrated with *Psp* NPS3121/*avrRpt2* die. Apparently, vacuum infiltration of an entire small *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedling with *Psp* NPS3121/*avrRpt2* elicits a systemic HR which usually kills the seedling. In contrast, seedlings infiltrated with *Psp* NPS3121 survive because *Psp* NPS3121 is a weak pathogen on *Arabidopsis*

within 24 hours. Using this procedure, approximately 40,000 plants were screened and one susceptible plant was identified. Subsequent RFLP analysis of this plant suggested that it may not be a Nossen mutant but rather a different *Arabidopsis* ecotype that is susceptible to *Psm* ES4326/*avrRpt2*. This plant is referred to as *rps2-101N*. The isolated mutants *rps2-101C*, *rps2-102C*, *rps2-201C*, and *rps2-101N* are referred to collectively as the "*rps2* mutants".

The *rps2* Mutants Fail to Specifically Respond to the Cloned Avirulence Gene, avrRpt2

The *RPS2* gene product is specifically required for resistance to pathogens carrying the avirulence gene, avrRpt2. A mutation in Rps2 polypeptide that eliminates or reduces its function would be observable as the absence of a hypersensitive response upon infiltration of the pathogen. The *rps2* mutants displayed disease symptoms or a null response when infiltrated with *Psm* ES4326/*avrRpt2*, *Pst* DC3000/*avrRpt2* or *Psp* NPS3121/*avrRpt2*, respectively. Specifically, no HR response was elicited, indicating that the plants were susceptible and had lost resistance to the pathogen despite the presence of the avrRpt2 gene in the pathogen.

Pathogen growth in *rps2* mutant plant leaves was similar in the presence and absence of the avrRpt2 gene. *Psm* ES4326 and *Psm* ES4326/*avrRpt2* growth in *rps2* mutants was compared and found to multiply equally well in the *rps2* mutants, at the same rate that *Psm* Es4326 multiplied in wild-type *Arabidopsis* leaves. Similar results were observed for *Pst* DC3000 and *Pst* DC3000/*avrRpt2* growth in *rps2* mutants.

The *rps2* mutants displayed a HR when infiltrated with *Pseudomonas* pathogens carrying other *avr* genes, *Psm* ES4326/*avrB*, *Pst* DC3000/*avrB*, *Psm* ES4326/*avrRpm1*, *Pst* DC3000/*avrRpm1*. The ability to mount an HR to an *avr* gene other than *avrRpt2* indicates that the *rps2* mutants isolated by selection with *avrRpt2* are specific to *avrRpt2*.

Mapping and Cloning of the RPS2 Gene

Genetic analysis of *rps2* mutants *rps2-101C*, *rps2-102C*, *rps-201C* and *rps-101N* showed that they all corresponded to genes that segregated as expected for a single Mendelian locus and that all four were most likely allelic. The four *rps2* mutants were mapped to the bottom of chromosome IV using standard RFLP mapping procedures including polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based markers (Yu et al., (1993), supra; Kunkel et al., (1993), supra; and Mindrinos, M., unpublished). Segregation analysis showed that *rps2-101C* and *rps2-102C* are tightly linked to the PCR marker, PG11, while the RFLP marker M600 was used to define the chromosome location of the *rps2-201C* mutation (Fig. 1A) (Yu et al., (1993), supra; Kunkel et al., (1993), supra). RPS2 has subsequently been mapped to the centromeric side of PG11.

Heterozygous *RPS2/rps2* plants display a defense response that is intermediate between those displayed by the wild-type and homozygous *rps2/rps2* mutant plants (Yu, et al., (1993), supra; and Kunkel et al., (1993), supra). The heterozygous plants mounted an HR in response to *Psm* ES4326/*avrRpt2* or *Pst* DC3000/*avrRpt2* infiltration; however, the HR appeared later than in wild type plants and required a higher minimum inoculum (Yu, et al., (1993), supra; and Kunkel et al., (1993), supra).

DNA sequence analysis of cDNA-4 from wild-type Col-O plants and from mutants *rps2-101C, rps2-102C, rps2-201C* and *rps2-101N* showed that cDNA-4 corresponds to *RPS2*. DNA sequence analysis of *rps2-101C, rps2-102C* and *rps2-201C* revealed changes from the wild-type sequence as shown in Table 1. The numbering system in Table 1 starts at the ATG start codon encoding the first methionine where A is nucleotide 1. DNA sequence analysis of cDNA-4 corresponding to mutant *rps2-102C* showed that it differed from the wild type sequence at amino acid residue 476. Moreover, DNA sequence analysis of the cDNA corresponding to cDNA-4 from *rps2-101N* showed that it contained a 10 bp insertion at amino acid residue 581, a site within the leucine-rich repeat region which causes a shift in the *RPS2* reading frame. Mutant *rps2-101C* contains a mutation that leads to the formation of a chain termination codon. The DNA sequence of mutant allele *rps2-201C* revealed a mutation altering a single amino acid within a segment of the LRR region that also has similarity to the helix-loop-helix motif, further supporting the designation of this locus as the *RPS2* gene. The DNA and amino acid sequences are shown in Figure 2.

Table 1

Mutant	Wild type	position of mutation	Change
rps2-101C	703 TGA 705	704	TAA Stop Codon
rps2-101N	1741 GTG 1743	1741	GTGGAGTTGTATG Insertion
rps2-102C	1426 AGA 1428 arg	1427	AAA Amino acid 476 lys

to the LRR of yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) adenylate cyclase, CYR1. A region predicted to be a transmembrane spanning domain (Klein et al. (1985) Biochim., Biophys. Acta 815:468-476) is located from amino acid residue 350 to amino acid residue 365, N-terminal to the LRR. An ATP/GTP binding site motif (P-loop) is predicted to be located between amino acid residue 177 and amino acid residue 194, inclusive. The motifs are discussed in more detail below.

From the above analysis of the deduced amino acid sequence, the Rps2 polypeptide may have a membrane-receptor structure which consists of an N-terminal extracellular region and a C-terminal cytoplasmic region. Alternatively, the topology of the Rps2 may be the opposite: an N-terminal cytoplasmic region and a C-terminal extracellular region. LRR motifs are extracellular in many cases and the Rps2 LRR contains five potential N-glycosylation sites.

Identification of RPS2 by Functional Complementation

Complementation of *rps2-201* homozygotes with genomic DNA corresponding to *Arabidopsis thaliana* functionally confirmed that the genomic region encoding cDNA-4 carries *RPS2* activity. Cosmids were constructed that contained overlapping contiguous sequences of wild type *Arabidopsis thaliana* DNA from the *RPS2* region contained in YACs EW11D4, EW9C3, and YUP11F1 of Fig. 1 and Fig. 4. The cosmid vectors were constructed from pSLJ4541 (obtained from J. Jones, Sainsbury Institute, Norwich, England) which contains sequences that allow the inserted sequence to be integrated into the plant genome via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation (designated "binary cosmid"). "H" and "E" cosmids (Fig. 1) were used to identify clones carrying DNA from the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genomic *RPS2* region.

were chosen based on the location of the transcription corresponding to the five cDNA clones in the *RPS2* region (Fig. 4). These transformation experiments utilized a vacuum infiltration procedure (Bechtold et al. (1993) C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 316:1194-1199) for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformations with cosmids pD2, pD14, pD15, pD39, and pD46 were performed using a root transformation/regeneration protocol (Valveekens et al. (1988), PNAS 85:5536-5540). The results of pathogen inoculation experiments assaying for *RPS2* activity in these transformants is indicated in Fig. 4.

These experiments were further confirmed using a modification of the vacuum filtration procedure. In particular, the procedure of Bechtold et al. (*supra*) was modified such that plants were grown in peat-based potting soil covered with a screen, primary inflorescences were removed, and plants with secondary inflorescences (approximately 3 to 15 cm in length) were inverted directly into infiltration medium, infiltrated, and then grown to seed harvest without removal from soil (detailed protocol available on the AAtDB computer database (43). The presence of introduced sequences in the initial pD4 transformant was verified by DNA blot analysis with a pD4 vector and insert sequences (separately) as probes. The presence of the expected sequences in transformants obtained with the vacuum infiltration protocol was also confirmed by DNA blot analysis. Root transformation experiments (19) were performed with an easily regenerable *rps2-201/rps2-201* x No-0 mapping population. Transformants were obtained for pD4 with in plant transformation, for pD2, 14, 16, 39, and 49 with root transformation, and for pD2, 4, 14, 15, 27, and 47 with vacuum infiltration as modified.

18 nucleic acids in length. Probes to sequences encoding specific structural features of the Rps2 polypeptide are preferred as they provide a means of isolating disease resistance genes having similar structural domains. Hybridization can be done using standard techniques such as are described in Ausubel et al., *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, John Wiley & Sons, (1989).

For example, high stringency conditions for detecting the *RPS2* gene include hybridization at about 42°C, and about 50% formamide; a first wash at about 65°C, about 2X SSC, and 1% SDS; followed by a second wash at about 65°C and about 0.1% x SSC. Lower stringency conditions for detecting *RPS* genes having about 50% sequence identity to the *RPS2* gene are detected by, for example, hybridization at about 42°C in the absence of formamide; a first wash at about 42°C, about 6X SSC, and about 1% SDS; and a second wash at about 50°C, about 6X SSC, and about 1% SDS. An approximately 350 nucleotide DNA probe encoding the middle portion of the LRR region of Rps2 was used as a probe in the above example. Under lower stringency conditions, a minimum of 5 DNA bands were detected in *Bam*HI digested *Arabidopsis thaliana* genomic DNA as sequences having sufficient sequence identity to hybridize to DNA encoding the middle portion of the LRR motif of Rps2. Similar results were obtained using a probe containing a 300 nucleotide portion of the *RPS2* gene encoding the extreme N-terminus of Rps2 outside of the LRR motif.

Isolation of other disease resistance genes is performed by PCR amplification techniques well known to those skilled in the art of molecular biology using oligonucleotide primers designed to amplify only sequences flanked by the oligonucleotides in genes having sequence identity to *RPS2*. The primers are optionally designed to